IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRICE H. ALLEN,)
) Civil Action No. 2: 15-cv-0317
Petitioner,)
) United States District Judge
v.) Nora Barry Fischer
)
JAMES ECKARD, Superintendent, and)
JOHN W. PECK, District Attorney of)
Westmoreland County,)
)
Respondents.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Brice H. Allen, ("Allen" or "Petitioner"), proceeding *pro se*, has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody (the "Petition") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his 2008 conviction for first degree murder. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Cynthia Reed Eddy for a report and recommendation in accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and the Local Rules of Court for Magistrate Judges.

The Report and Recommendation ("R&R") filed on October 19, 2017, recommended that the Petition be dismissed and that a certificate of appealability be denied. [ECF No. 15]. The parties were informed that Petitioner's written objections to the R&R were due by November 7, 2017, and that Respondents' written objections were due by November 3, 2017. On November 9, 2017, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time to file objections, which the Court granted and extended the time to file objections to November 27, 2017. [ECF No. 17]. On November 13, 2017, Petitioner filed an unsigned "Response to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation" in which he raised an objection to the Magistrate Judge's

recommendation that the "accumulated trial errors" claim be denied. In the Response, Petitioner

also requested an additional thirty (30) days in which to file a brief in support of his objections.

Petitioner's request for additional time to file a brief was granted and Petitioner was given an

extension until December 14, 2017, to file remaining objections / brief in support of his

objections. [ECF No. 20]. To date, Petitioner has not filed any supplemental objection(s) or brief.

Respondents filed a "Response to Petitioner's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation." [ECF No. 22]. The matter is ripe for review.

Where, as here, objections have been filed, the court is required to make a de novo

determination about those portions of the R&R to which objections were made. See 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The district court may accept, reject, or modify the recommended

disposition, as well as receive further evidence or return the matter to the magistrate judge with

instructions.

After reviewing *de novo* the record in this case, and the pleadings and documents filed in

this case, together with the R&R, Petitioner's objections, and Respondents' response, the Court

is in agreement with the recommendation of the R&R and finds that Petitioner's objections do

no undermine the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. The Petition will be denied and an

appropriate order will be entered.

DATED: January 9, 2018

BY THE COURT:

s/Nora Barry Fischer

Nora Barry Fischer

United States District Judge

2

BRICE H. ALLEN cc:

HK-0223

SCI Huntingdon 1100 Pike Street

Huntingdon, PA 16654 (via U.S. First Class Mail)

James T. Lazar Westmoreland County District Attorney's Office (via ECF electronic notification)